

# SMILE: Sub-MeV/MeV Gamma-ray Survey using Electron-Tracking Compton Camera loaded on Balloon

<u>A. Takada</u>, T. Tanimori, H. Kubo, T. Mizumoto, Y. Mizumura, T. Sawano<sup>1</sup>, K. Nakamura, Y. Matsuoka, S. Komura, S. Nakamura, T. Kishimoto, M. Oda, T. Takemura, S. Miyamoto, Y. Nakamasu, K. Yoshikawa, J. D. Parker, K. Miuchi<sup>2</sup>, S. Kurosawa<sup>3</sup> (Kyoto University, <sup>1</sup>Kanazawa University, <sup>2</sup>Kobe University, <sup>3</sup>Tohoku University)

## 1. To Open MeV-gamma ray Window

MeV gamma rays from hundreds keV to tens MeV provide us the information of nucleosynthesis in supernovae, particle acceleration in jets of active galactic nuclei or gamma-ray bursts, and strong gravitational potential around black hole candidates. Especially, line gamma rays from fresh radioisotopes are unique probe for direct search of nucleus factories. <sup>56</sup>Ni produced in type Ia supernovae, which are famous standard candles in universe, determines the explosion mechanism. Longlived isotopes such as <sup>26</sup>Al or <sup>60</sup>Fe have the information of old star production or material transmission in our galaxy. In addition, the universe in MeV region is quite transparent, we can thus see the first star as a long gamma-ray burst. However, the observation in this region is not explored due to the difficulty of clear images. To open the MeV gamma-ray window, we need a new telescope having a good point spread function (PSF), a large effective area, and a wide field of view.

Aim to the detection sensitivity of 1 mCrab

## 2. To Realize 1 mCrab sensitivity



ETCC using a gaseous time projection chamber Our ETCC consists of a gaseous time projection chamber (TPC), which detects the track and energy of the recoil electron, and a scintillator, which detects the absorption point and the energy of the scattered gamma ray. Although ETCC detects gamma rays using Compton scattering similar to COMPTEL, new information of electron tracking (two directional angles and energy loss rate: dE/dx) provides us clear images with a sharp and well-defined PSF and strong background rejection compared to the conventional MeV gamma-ray detectors.

## 3. Performance of Current ETCC

Sub-MeV gamma-ray Imaging Loaded-on-balloon Experiment II

For the future observations with loading on a satellite, we have a plan of balloon experiments. As the first step, we launched a small ETCC using a 10 cm cube TPC in 2006 (SMILE-I). By the background rejection power of ETCC, it E was successful to observe diffuse cosmic and atmospheric gamma rays. The next SMILE (SMILE-II) is an observation of the Crab nebula using a middle size ETCC.

Requirements for SMILE-II - Effective area : > 0.5 cm<sup>2</sup> (< 300 keV) E (FWHM) - Angular resolution : <  $10^{\circ}$  (662 keV)

Effective area ~1 cm<sup>2</sup> @ < 300 keV</p>

Gaseous TPC		
ffective volume	$30 \times 30 \times 30 \text{ cm}^3$	
as	Ar:iso-C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub> :CF <sub>4</sub> (95:2:3), 1 atm.	
patial resolution	~0.5 mm	
nergy resolution FWHM)	22 % (@ 22 keV)	

Pixel scintillator arrays		
cintillator	GSO:Ce (6.71 g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	
xel size	$6 \times 6 \times 13 \text{ mm}^3$	
of pixels	6912	
ynamic range	80 keV—1.3 MeV	
nergy resolution	10 % (@ 662 keV)	



Angular resolution 5.3° @ 662 keV

GSO pixel scintillator array

#### Well-defined point spread function

The angular resolution of usual telescopes are described with a point spread function (PSF). Until now, the angular resolutions of Compton cameras including ETCCs are evaluated by angular resolution measure (ARM) and scatter plane deviation (SPD), each parameter however does not \_\_\_\_\_ represent a PSF. In this time, we tried to evaluate a PSF of ETCC with assuming ARM/SPD resolutions without optimization algorithm.



10



The left figure shows the cumulative ratio with different ARM/SPD resolutions as a function of radius angle. In the case of overlaying the event circles (conventional method), because the PSF is quite wide  $(35^{\circ} \text{ for } 50\%)$ included), a conventional Compton camera needs the use of an optimization algorithm as like maximum likelihoodexpectation maximization (ML-EM) to survey sources. On the other hand, ETCC has a sharp PSF, even if not using ML-EM. Therefore the sensitivity of ETCC should be determined simply from the effective area and the PSF of the instrument. The PSF strongly depends on the SPD resolution. The better SPD resolution caused a sharper PSF and lower background, as shown in the left bottom images. SPD resolution is limited by multiple scattering of recoil electron in gas. If we can decide the recoil direction within few mm, SPD resolution of our ETCC radius [degree] becomes less than 10 degrees.





Ke [keV] ✓ Utilizing the particle identification by dE/dx, the events of fully-contained electron is clearly separated from other components.





30 Minimum D	etectable Polariz	vation of SMTLE_TTT
→ mid-latitu	ude, 40 km, 10 hc	ours flights
Crab :	~ 15% Cyg	X-1 : ~ 20%
> polar region, 40 km, 1 month flights		
GRBs :	10 <sup>-6</sup> erg/cm <sup>2</sup> /s	(2-3 GRBs/month) ~ 6%
	$10^{-7} \text{ erg/cm}^2/\text{s}$	(~10 GRBs/month) ~ 20%

