

Simulation Study for the Higher Sensitivity of an Electron-Tracking Compton Camera at over 1 MeV <u>A. Takada</u>, T. Tanimori, H. Kubo, K. Miuchi, S. Kabuki¹, J. D. Parker, Y. Kishimoto², T. Mizumoto, K. Ueno³, S. Kurosawa⁴, S. Iwaki, T. Sawano, K. Taniue, K. Nakamura, N. Higashi, Y. Matsuoka, S. Komura and Y. Sato Kyoto University, ¹Tokai University, ²KEK, ³RIKEN, ⁴Tohoku University

1. MeV gamma-ray Astronomy







► COMPTEL (CGRO) : Compton Imaging COMPTEL discovered ~30 steady sources in all sky. The observation was obstructed by many backgrounds, so that the actual sensitivity was lower than the designed one. ► IBIS, SPI (INTEGRAL) : Coded Aperture Imaging The sensitivity is nearly equal to that of COMPTEL in MeV region.

2. Electron Tracking Compton Camera



Background Rejection

The angle α between the scattering direction and the

Electron Tracking Compton Camera (ETCC)

The camera consists of a gaseous time projection chamber (TPC), which Drift Plane detects the track and energy of the recoil electron, and a scintillator, which detects the absorption point and the scattered gamma-ray energy. By the detection of the direction of the recoil electron, we can reconstruct the Compton scattering completely and obtain the fully raytraced gamma-ray image.

- $E_0 = E_{\gamma} + K_e$
- $\cos\phi = 1 \frac{m_e c^2 K_e}{E_V (E_V + K_e)}$
- $\vec{s} = \left(\cos\phi \frac{\sin\phi}{\tan\alpha}\right)\vec{g} + \frac{\sin\phi}{\sin\alpha}\vec{e}$
 - E_0 : Energy of the incident gamma-ray
- E_{ν} : Energy of the scattered gamma-ray
- K_e : Kinetic energy of the recoil electron
- \vec{s} : Direction of the incident gamma-ray
- \vec{q} : Unit vector of the scattering direction
- \vec{e} : Unit vector of the recoil direction
- ϕ : Scattering angle
- α : Differential angle between \vec{g} and \vec{e}

Conventional Compton Imaging Not using the electron tracks 15

Next generation detector must have ... • Wide-band detection SMILE-I TF for study of radiation processes • Large Field of View for all sky survey

 \vec{e}, K_e

¹³⁷Cs(1MBq)×2

150 events

X [cm] 15

-GEM

EGRET

'Energy [MeV]

SMILE-I (2006/9/1)

0.2

 Background rejection for higher detection sensitivity

 \vec{g}, E_{γ}

30cm cubic TPC Y High Energy Mode (15mm) High Energy Mode

Dynamic range of Electron tracker

As the confirmation of the effect of plastic scintillator, we simulated the full deposit efficiency, which is the probability of electrons depositing over 95% of the initial energy.

> Current type Tracker

SMILE-I TPC: < 0.14 MeV. 30cm cube TPC: < 0.18 MeV

The extension of the energy range is only 0.04 MeV, whereas the fiducial volume of 30cm cube TPC is 18 times larger than that of SMILE-I TPC. > High energy mode Tracker

- thickness of plastic scintillator = 10 mm : 0.12 1.8 MeV thickness of plastic scintillator = 15 mm : 0.12 - 2.2 MeV
- below 350 keV -> The efficiency depends on the gap size between plastic scintillator and TPC.
- 10^{2} over 350 keV -> The efficiency depends on the thickness of plastic Electron Energy [keV] Full-energy deposit efficiency of electron

5. Simulation study of HE-ETCC

Design of HE-ETCC simulator > TPC

 $gas: CF_4 + Ar + iso-C_4H_{10}$, 1 atm size : $(10 \times 10 \times 30 \text{ cm}^3) \times 9$ energy resolution : 45% @ 22.2keV, FWHM position resolution : 500µm

Plastic scintillator

thickness : 15 mm energy resolution : 50% @ 100 keV, FWHM

> GSO Scintillator

material : Gd_2SiO_5 :Ce pixel size : $6 \times 6 \times 40 \text{ mm}^3$

of pixels : 2304 pixels @ bottom of TPC 1152 pixels @ each side of TPC energy resolution : 11% @ 662 keV, FWHM

Design of current type ETCC simulator

scintillator, but the difference is slight (< 10% increase).

 $gas: CF_4 + Ar + iso-C_4H_{10}$, 1 atm size : 30 x 30 x 30 cm³

energy resolution : 45% @ 22.2keV, FWHM

- position resolution : 500µm
- > GSO Scintillator

1 MeV

- material : Gd₂SiO₅:Ce
- pixel size : $6 \times 6 \times 13 \text{ mm}^3$
- # of pixels : 2304 pixels @ bottom of TPC 1152 pixels @ each side of TPC
- energy resolution : 11% @ 662 keV, FWHM

Studied the performance of HE-ETCC using the comparison HE-ETCC with current type.

[™]0.35 MeV

HE-ETCC

And the second s

recoil direction is measured geometrically

 $\cos \alpha_{\rm geo} = \vec{g} \cdot \vec{e}$

and also this angle is obtained by the calculation using the energies of the recoil electron and the scattered gamma-ray

$$\cos \alpha_{\rm kin} = \left(1 - \frac{m_e c^2}{E_{\gamma}}\right) \sqrt{\frac{K_e}{K_e + 2m_e c^2}}$$

Therefore we can select the good events of which the kinematical calculated angle is consistent with the measured one. Because of the background rejection by the angle α , the ETCC fits for the MeV gamma-ray astronomy, whose serious problem is the obstruction by background.



2 sources were

separated clearly -15

Sub-MeV gamma-ray Imaging Loaded-on-balloon Experiment

For the future observations with loading on a satellite, we have a plan of balloon experiments. As the first step, we developed a small size ETCC using a 10cm cube TPC, and launched from Sanriku Balloon Center, ISAS/JAXA, on Sep. 1, 2006 (SMILE-I). SMILE-I ETCC observed diffuse cosmic and atmospheric gamma rays for the confirmation of gamma-ray detection at the balloon altitudes, and it was successful that we obtained approximately 420 photons at the altitude of 35 km, during the live time of 3 hours. The next step of SMILE is an observation of a bright source using a middle size ETCC for the test of imaging properties (SMILE-II). Now, we are preparing and testing a prototype of 30cm cube ETCC, and are designing a flight model of SMILE-II. After the second flight, we will develop the larger volume ETCC, and will observe the celestial objects and the terrestrial gamma ray burst caused by relativistic electron precipitation using balloons, and finally we try all sky survey in MeV band using a satellite.

3. Energy band of SMILE-I and SMILE-II

Performances of HE-ETCC

- > Spectrum
- Photo-peaks in HE-ETCC spectra are clearly seen between 0.35-2 MeV.
- The Center of photo-peak is approximately 10% lower than the initial energy.
 - -> Energy loss at the gap.
- The energy resolution of HE-ETCC is worse than that of current type ETCC.

HE-ETCC 20% <-> current type 15% @ 0.5 MeV, FWHM

- > Angular resolution
- ARM : HE-ETCC 15.1° <-> current type 8.0° @ 0.5 MeV ARM of HE-ETCC is worse than that of current type, because ARM depends on the energy resolution of the scattered gamma rays and HE-ETCC selects the lower energy scattered gamma ray events.
- SPD : HE-ETCC 78° <-> current type 75° @ 0.5 MeV HE-ETCC 48° <-> current type 92° @ 1.0 MeV
- > Detection efficiency & Sensitivity
- HE-ETCC : 5.2 x 10⁻⁵ @ 0.5 MeV, Photo-peak 8.3 x 10⁻⁵ @ 1.0 MeV, Photo-peak
- current type : 7.0 x 10⁻⁵ @ 0.5 MeV, Photo-peak 1.1 x 10⁻⁵ @ 1.0 MeV, Photo-peak
- The energy range of HE-ETCC is shifted to 0.35 5 MeV
- Over 2.5MeV, the pair creation events increase. -> We must improve HE-ETCC so that HE-ETCC
 - can detect pair creation events for the higher sensitivity over 5MeV.

HE-ETCC





• The energy band of the current ETCC is limited below 1 MeV. • For the MeV gamma-ray astronomy, we want to detect the energy band of 0.5-100 MeV.

It is necessary to develop the ETCC for the higher energy band.





- > By using the plastic scintillator as an electron absorber, the energy range is shifted to higher energy of 0.35 - 5 MeV.
- > SPD, which depends on the multiple scattering of recoil electron, is better than that of the current type, because HE-ETCC detects the events of the higher energy recoil electron.
 - -> It is expected that the tail of point spread function is shorter than that of current type or conventional Compton telescope.
- > ARM and energy resolution are worse than those of the current type at the same energy, because HE-ETCC can not trigger low energy electron below 100 keV and selects the scattered gamma rays having lower energy.
 - -> There is a relation between the reconstructed energy and ARM distribution, thus the improvements in the analysis, as like an estimation of energy loss at the gap, are needed for the higher energy resolution and better ARM.
- > For the detection over 5MeV, it is necessary to reconstruct the pair creation events.
 - -> For the detection of the electrons over 5MeV, we consider using GSO scintillators as both high energy electron stoppers and scattered gamma ray absorbers. Therefore, a low mass TPC vessel is needed. We already made a polyethylene terephthalate (PET) vessel with the thickness of 2mm, and test is on-going.



Reconstructed energy [ke dependence of ARM distribution (1 MeV incident)



IEEE NSS/MIC/RTSD 2011, Valencia, Spain